

Appendix 3: Schedule of Suggested Amendments to the Publication Draft Plan (to Address Issues of Soundness)

Note: this appendix supersedes Appendix 2 of the Strategy & Resources Policy Committee Report (2nd August 2023).

Additional suggested amendments are shown in red.

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
LS40	Part 1	Paragraph 1.18	Add the word 'main' before 'broad locations for longer term growth.'	To clarify that only the largest broad locations for growth are shown on the key diagram.
DH5	Part 1	Paragraph 2.11	At the end of paragraph 2.11, add "and ensure that the Plan makes the maximum possible contribution to the overall social value of the city."	To include a reference to 'social value' in the Plan to recognise the potential importance of a social value strategy for the Council.
LS12	Part 1	Objectives for a fair, inclusive and healthy city	Additional wording to recognise the role of a healthy population in supporting a strong economy.	Response to comment (PDSP.015.001) - SYMCA
LS11	Part 1	Objectives	Under the Objectives for a Green City, first bullet point, add an additional sub-objective: 'provide sufficient land to facilitate sustainable food production.'	Response to comments PDSP.121.005; PDSP.121.006; PDSP.121.007; PDSP.198.001
LS11	Part 1	Objectives for a Green City	Amend wording to restore natural landscape setting, contribute to nature recovery and adapt to climate change.	Response to comments (PDSP.127.001) Sheffield and Rotherham Wildlife Trust; (PDSP.120.001) - Owlthorpe Fields Action Group; (PDSP.344.001) Individual; and (PDSP.333.001) Individual
DH40	Part 1	Paragraph 3.1	Added 'supporting economic, social and environmental objectives. In particular, this strategy helps to reduce carbon emissions'	Response to comment PDSP.140.004 - South Yorkshire Climate Alliance

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
RH119	Part 1	Policy SP1	Amend part (b) of the Policy to read: (b) 12.8 hectares of employment land per year, which includes 2.9 hectares for office development and 9.9 hectares for industrial development (see Policies EC1 to EC7).	Updated calculation in respect of employment land as agreed by Full Council on 14 December 2022.
GC1	Part 1	Policy SP1	Add 'Don Valley Line' and 'including supporting infrastructure to deliver enhanced rail services.' to part (j) (3rd bullet)	response to comments from SYMCA and recent discussions with Network Rail, and to ensure references to rail are consistent across the policies.
S20	Part 1	Policy SP1(l)	Update wording to 'extension' of blue and green infrastructure. Remove 'designated'. Remove focus on Green Network and Urban Greenspace Zones. Add reference to Map 17.	Response to comments (PDSP.127.002, PDSP.120.002) and to ensure consistency with changes to BG1 (see changes SV6 and SV9)
LS4	Part 1	Policy SP1	Amend part (m) to incorporate non-designated heritage assets and additional reference in brackets to policy D1.	Response to Historic England (PDSP.003.004), Joined Up Heritage (PDSP.116.002) and (PDSP.116.003), and Individual (PDSP.270.001)
HT10	Part 1	Policy SA1 criteria c)	Add: including along the Innovation Spine running between West Bar and the University of Sheffield.	To make reference to the Innovation Spine proposal.
SV16	Part 1	Policy SA1	After criterion (j) insert: '(k) Extend and enhance active travel routes along one bank of the Main Rivers (River Don, River Sheaf and Porter Brook), wherever practicable and where it is consistent with biodiversity and heritage objectives.'	Response to comments PDSP.177.002 - Individual; PDSP.151.006 - Upper Don Trail Trust; PDSP.232.002 - Individual; PDSP.229.002 - Individual; PDSP.245.002 - Individual; PDSP.346.002 - Individual; PDSP.329.002 - Individual; PDSP.306.002 - Individual; PDSP.220.002 - Individual; PDSP.281.002 - Individual; PDSP.393.005 - Individual; PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust; PDSP.267.006 - Individual

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
HT26	Part 1	Paragraph 4.10	Add in new sentence to paragraph 4.10: Additionally, Kelham Island Conservation Area informs the character and gives a distinctive identity to the area.	To provide consistency and clarity with other policies mentioning heritage assets and Conservation Areas.
HT27	Part 1	Policy CA1 j) - new criteria	Add additional Policy CA1 criteria as the following: "j) Conserve, enhance and capitalise on the area's heritage, especially within the Kelham Island Conservation Area, by providing high quality development proposals"	To provide consistency and clarity with other policies mentioning heritage assets and Conservation Areas.
HT32	Part 1	Policy CA1B	Amend Policy CA1B to the following: "The Catalyst Site will be redeveloped as part of Central Sub-Area – Character Area Two, as defined on the Policies Map. Proposals will be expected to be delivered in accordance with any approved masterplan for the area to ensure the site and its infrastructure relates positively to neighbouring sites and the surrounding area. Development proposals will deliver Site Allocation(s) KN03, KN07, KN15, KN21 and KN24 and help realise:	To aid the implementation effectiveness of Policy CA1B. Also to correct omission of site KN21 from the policy.
HT33	Part 1	Policy CA2 criteria e)	Amend PolicyCA2 criteria e) as follows: Enhance pedestrian and cycle environments along main routes and improve the relationship with the river and canal side spaces - creating new riverside routes, supported by active building frontages, and proposals that positively interact with the river and canal side spaces.	To clarify the approach taken and strengthen the application of the policy.
HT24	Part 1	Paragraph 4.26	Amend supporting text to mention that Character Area lies within the City Centre and Hanover Conservation Area to be consistent with other sections as follows: 4.26. Most of the area falls within the City Centre and has a vibrant feel through a mix of commercial, higher education, residential, civic, retail, and leisure uses. The area also features a number of Conservation Areas (Furnace Hill, City Centre, Hanover and Well Meadow) and Listed Buildings providing a built heritage context that will influence future development	To provide consistency and clarity with other policies mentioning heritage assets and Conservation Areas.

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			proposals. The role and function of the area is shaped by the existing pattern of the built environment, a challenging topography, as well as key assets such as the Grade I Listed Sheffield Cathedral, Paradise Square, and the University of Sheffield and its campus.	
HT34	Part 1	Paragraph 4.28	Add additional supporting text as follows: Proposals will seek to create active and walkable neighbourhoods, with future development schemes that encourage activity at street-level and a greater amount of ground floor uses – including retail, commercial, and leisure. Creating new neighbourhood hubs, to stimulate greater pockets of activity and movement through the area, will be vital to the future success of the area. Proposals will also enable the continuous development and expansion of existing facilities of the Sheffield Innovation Spine (see Policy SA1).	To make reference to the Innovation Spine proposal.
HT28	Part 1	Policy CA3 h) - new criteria	Add additional Policy CA3 criteria as the following: "h) Conserve, enhance and capitalise on the area's heritage within the Furnace Hill, City Centre, Hanover and Well Meadow Conservation Areas, by providing high quality development proposals."	To provide consistency and clarity with other policies mentioning heritage assets and Conservation Areas.
HT25	Part 1	Paragraph 4.36	Correct typo in supporting text paragraph 4.36 to Grade II*.	To correct typo.
PG1	Part 1	Paragraph 4.57	Amend the first sentence in paragraph 4.57 to say "The Rivelin and Loxley Valleys are popular areas for outdoor recreation, key to the sub-area's industrial heritage, rich in biodiversity and connect the city to the large areas of attractive countryside before it reaches the Peak District National Park."	Response to comment PDSP.260.002 - Jan Symington
GC2	Part 1	Policy SA2	Add the following to part (g) "support for the re-opening of the Don Valley Line, and associated connectivity	response to comments from SYMCA and recent discussions with Network Rail, and to

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			improvements in partnership with SYMCA, and the rail industry;"	ensure references to rail are consistent across the policies.
SV17	Part 1	Policy SA2	After criterion (i) insert: '(h) Extend and enhance active travel routes along one bank of the Main Rivers (River Don, River Loxley, River Rivelin), wherever practicable and where it is consistent with biodiversity and heritage objectives.'	Response to comments PDSP.177.002 - Individual; PDSP.151.006 - Upper Don Trail Trust; PDSP.232.002 - Individual; PDSP.229.002 - Individual; PDSP.245.002 - Individual; PDSP.346.002 - Individual; PDSP.329.002 - Individual; PDSP.306.002 - Individual; PDSP.220.002 - Individual; PDSP.281.002 - Individual; PDSP.393.005 - Individual; PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust; PDSP.267.006 - Individual
SV18	Part 1	Policy SA3	After criterion (j) insert: '(k) Extend and enhance active travel routes along one bank of the Main Rivers (Blackburn Brook, Charlton Brook, Ecclesfield Brook, Hartley Brook, Whitley Brook, Bagley Dike), wherever practicable and where it is consistent with biodiversity and heritage objectives.'	Response to comments PDSP.177.002 - Individual; PDSP.151.006 - Upper Don Trail Trust; PDSP.232.002 - Individual; PDSP.229.002 - Individual; PDSP.245.002 - Individual; PDSP.346.002 - Individual; PDSP.329.002 - Individual; PDSP.306.002 - Individual; PDSP.220.002 - Individual; PDSP.281.002 - Individual; PDSP.393.005 - Individual; PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust; PDSP.267.006 - Individual
SV19	Part 1	Policy SA4	After criterion (j) insert: '(k) Extend and enhance active travel routes along one bank of the Main Rivers (River Don, Blackburn Brook, Carr Brook, Kirkbridge Dike and Bagley Dike), wherever practicable and where it is consistent with biodiversity and heritage objectives.'	Response to comments PDSP.177.002 - Individual; PDSP.151.006 - Upper Don Trail Trust; PDSP.232.002 - Individual; PDSP.229.002 - Individual; PDSP.245.002 - Individual; PDSP.346.002 - Individual; PDSP.329.002 - Individual; PDSP.306.002 - Individual; PDSP.220.002 - Individual;

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
				PDSP.281.002 - Individual; PDSP.393.005 - Individual; PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust; PDSP.267.006 - Individual
GD1	Part 1	Policy SA5	Amend part g) to incorporate reference to Killamarsh. Add proposed station location to Map 16.	Response to comment PDSP.015.004 - South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority
SV20	Part 1	Policy SA5	After criterion (g) insert: '(h) Extending and enhancing active travel routes along one bank of the Main Rivers (River Rother, The Moss, Ochre Dike and Shirtcliffe Brook), wherever practicable and where it is consistent with biodiversity and heritage objectives	Response to comments PDSP.177.002 - Individual; PDSP.151.006 - Upper Don Trail Trust; PDSP.232.002 - Individual; PDSP.229.002 - Individual; PDSP.245.002 - Individual; PDSP.346.002 - Individual; PDSP.329.002 - Individual; PDSP.306.002 - Individual; PDSP.220.002 - Individual; PDSP.281.002 - Individual; PDSP.393.005 - Individual; PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust; PDSP.267.006 - Individual
SV21	Part 1	Policy SA6	After criterion (h) insert: '(i) Extend and enhance active travel routes along one bank of the Main Rivers (River Sheaf, Totle Brook, Oldhay Brook, Abbey Brook and Meersbrook), wherever practicable and where it is consistent with biodiversity and heritage objectives.'	Response to comments PDSP.177.002 - Individual; PDSP.151.006 - Upper Don Trail Trust; PDSP.232.002 - Individual; PDSP.229.002 - Individual; PDSP.245.002 - Individual; PDSP.346.002 - Individual; PDSP.329.002 - Individual; PDSP.306.002 - Individual; PDSP.220.002 - Individual; PDSP.281.002 - Individual; PDSP.393.005 - Individual; PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust; PDSP.267.006 - Individual
SV22	Part 1	Policy SA7	After criterion (g) insert: '(h) Extend and enhance active travel routes along one bank of the Main Rivers (River	Response to comments PDSP.177.002 - Individual; PDSP.151.006 - Upper Don Trail

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			Rother, The Moss, Ochre Dike and Shirtcliff Brook), wherever practicable and where it is consistent with biodiversity and heritage objectives.'	Trust; PDSP.232.002 - Individual; PDSP.229.002 - Individual; PDSP.245.002 - Individual; PDSP.346.002 - Individual; PDSP.329.002 - Individual; PDSP.306.002 - Individual; PDSP.220.002 - Individual; PDSP.281.002 - Individual; PDSP.393.005 - Individual; PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust; PDSP.267.006 - Individual
PG23	Part 1	Map 13	Remove reference to Stocksbridge/Fox Valley District Centre from Policy SA8 (Fox Valley is an extension to Stockbridge District Centre not a district centre in its own right).	Response to comment PDSP.077.001
C32	Part 1	Policy SA8 (f)	Add ", and the rail industry" to the end of the 2nd bullet	Response to discussions with Network Rail and SYMCA
SV23	Part 1	Policy SA8	After criterion (f) insert: '(g) Extend and enhance active travel routes along one bank of the Main Rivers (River Don, Little Don, Clough Dike), wherever practicable and where it is consistent with biodiversity and heritage objectives'	Response to comments PDSP.177.002 - Individual; PDSP.151.006 - Upper Don Trail Trust; PDSP.232.002 - Individual; PDSP.229.002 - Individual; PDSP.245.002 - Individual; PDSP.346.002 - Individual; PDSP.329.002 - Individual; PDSP.306.002 - Individual; PDSP.220.002 - Individual; PDSP.281.002 - Individual; PDSP.393.005 - Individual; PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust; PDSP.267.006 - Individual
LS6	Part 1	Policy H1(g)	Amend reference to housing for older people rather than older people's independent living accommodation.	Response to comment (PDSP.056.003) McCarthy Stone
LS39	Part 1	Policy H1	Insert new table 3 after policy H1 to set out housing trajectory (taken from Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment).	As required by NPPF paragraph 74.

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
GC22	Part 1	Paragraph 5.17	Add "The South Yorkshire Enhanced Bus Partnership 2022 is a 5 year approach aimed at developing a network that is more reliable, higher quality and offers better value. It includes provision of a range of infrastructure, from bus priority to new bus shelters and real time information" to the end of paragraph 5.17	Response to comment PDSP.015.009 - South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority
GC23	Part 1	paragraph 5.18	Add to the end of para 5.18 "It is important to make provision for non-standard cycles, including cargo bikes, as well as recognising the role that electrically assisted non-vehicular travel, such as E-Bikes, can have in making active travel accessible to more people and for more journeys. This is particularly important in Sheffield where the topography can be more challenging."	Response to numerous comments: PDSP.355.001, PDSP.356.003, PDSP.153.001 (TO COMELETE)
GC39	Part 1	Policy T1	add 'including mobility hubs' to first paragraph to read "A proactive approach will be taken to increase the role of technology and a range of mobility options, including mobility hubs , to ensure existing and future transport infrastructure remains fit for purpose and future-ready." and add to the definition list.	Omission
GC21	Part 1	Policy T1 paragraph 2	In paragraph 2, after 'SYMCA Transport Strategy and the Sheffield Transport Strategy' add "and any subsequent replacements"	Response to comment PDSP.015.006 - South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority
GC30	Part 1	Policy T1, national and regional section	6th bullet, delete 'road links' and replace with 'connectivity'. and delete 'England'	response to comment PDSP.140.013 - South Yorkshire Climate Alliance
GC31	Part 1	Policy T1, City region Level	add "including supporting infrastructure to deliver enhanced rail services." to the end of the first bullet in the City Region Level section	Response to discussions with Network Rail and SYMCA
GC3	Part 1	Policy T1	Add the following to the City Region level list: 3rd bullet add 'Killamarsh' to the new stations list, and add 'partly in	response to comments from SYMCA and recent discussions with Network Rail, and to

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			Rotherham'. add a new 4th bullet "Re-opening the Don Valley Railway Line to passengers ".	ensure references to rail are consistent across the policies.
GC20	Part 1	Policy T1	Add to City Level section, a new bullet "Prioritising park and ride linked to Mass Transit Corridors and reducing long stay parking elsewhere. "	Response to comment PDSP.236.002 and PDSP.236.003 - individual
GC29	Part 1	Policy T1	add final bullet to the policy "Supporting opportunities to utilise more sustainable freight movements, including for example E-cargo bikes and consolidation hubs".	response to comment PDSP.015.008
GC17	Part 1	Map 15 & 16	add Strategic Road Network (M1 and A616) to maps 15 and 16	to differentiate between the local Strategic Roads and the Strategic Road Network.
SV12	Part 1	Paragraph 5.24	Insert the word 'existing' before the words 'blue and green infrastructure'. Before the words 'is represented on Map 17' insert the words 'the existing network of countryside and greenspace'. Insert a new paragraph after paragraph 5.24: 'Work on a new South Yorkshire Local Nature Recovery Strategy (the LNRS) is being led by the South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority but, at the time of submitting this Plan, had not been completed. The LNRS will map the network of designated wildlife sites and identify greenspaces, countryside and previously developed sites that provide opportunities for nature recovery, helping to improve connectivity between existing wildlife habitats. More detail on this will be set out in a supplementary planning document, once the work on the LNRS has been completed.	Response to comments PDSP.103.001, PDSP.331.001
SV13	Part 1	Paragraph 5.24	At the end of paragraph 5.24, add the following sentences: 'Sheffield's water courses are a very important part of the city's network, as well as being a key part of the city's industrial heritage and character. We have high ambitions to further improve connectivity in the network to support both nature recovery and improve recreational opportunities.'	Response to comments PDSP.103.001, PDSP.331.001

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
SV7	Part 1	Policy BG1	Add the following sentence to the end of paragraph 5.24: 'A number of different organisations and agencies are involved in delivering specific blue and green infrastructure projects (e.g. the Environment Agency, Canal and Rivers Trust, Sheffield & Rotherham Wildlife Trust, the Upper Don Trail Trust and the Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust).'	Response to comments PDSP.122.003 - Rivelin Valley Conservation Group, PDSP.125.002 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust, PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust, PDSP.131.001 - Sheffield Green & Open Spaces Forum, PDSP.131.002 - Sheffield Green & Open Spaces Forum, PDSP.151.003 - Upper Don Trail Trust, PDSP.177.002 - Individual, PDSP.201.005 - Individual, PDSP.205.003 - Individual, PDSP.220.002 - Individual, PDSP.229.002 - Individual, PDSP.232.002 - Individual, PDSP.245.002 - Individual, PDSP.267.006 - Individual, PDSP.271.002 - Individual, PDSP.271.005 - Individual, PDSP.281.002 - Individual, PDSP.306.002 - Individual, PDSP.329.002 - Individual, PDSP.341.003 - Individual, PDSP.343.002 - Individual, PDSP.346.002 - Individual, PDSP.354.001 - Individual, PDSP.375.005 - Individual, PDSP.393.001 - Individual, PDSP.393.004 - Individual, PDSP.393.005 - Individual
SV10	Part 1	Paragraph 5.25	At the end of paragraph 5.25 insert a new sentence: 'There can sometimes be tensions between biodiversity objectives and human access; the Plan seeks to deliver outcomes that support both objectives but where tensions cannot be resolved, the Plan will give priority to the protection and enhancement of biodiversity.'	Response to comment PDSP.260.005; PDSP.104.003
PG20	Part 1	Paragraph 5.25	At the end of paragraph 5.25 insert a new sentence: 'There can sometimes be tensions between biodiversity objectives	Response to comment PDSP.104.001

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			and human access; the Plan seeks to deliver outcomes that support both objectives but where tensions cannot be resolved, the Plan will give priority to the protection and enhancement of biodiversity.'	
SV9	Part 1	Policy BG1	Amend the title of the policy to read 'BLUE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE AND THE LOCAL NATURE RECOVERY NETWORK'. In the second sentence, delete the words 'Green Network of urban greenspace and countryside including the Local Nature Recovery Network)' and insert 'blue and green infrastructure and the Local Nature Recovery Network'. In the final sentence, before the words 'Green Belt' substitute a comma for the word 'or' and after the words '(see Policy GS2)' insert the words 'or designated ecological or geological sites (see Policy GS5)'. Add new definition: "Designated ecological and geological sites' – internationally, nationally and locally designated sites as described in Policies GS5 and GS8.' Delete the 'Green Network' definition.	Response to comments PDSP.127.007 - Sheffield & Rotherham Wildlife Trust, PDSP.393.003 - Individual, PDSP.393.003 - Individual, PDSP.271.006 - Individual, PDSP.271.007 - Individual
SV6	Part 1	Policy BG1	In the first sentence of the Policy, insert references to conserving heritage assets, supporting local food production and active travel. Also refer to 'extension' , as well as 'protection, enhancement and management' of the blue and green infrastructure network. The amended sentence should therefore read: 'Blue and green infrastructure in the city will be protected, managed, enhanced and, wherever possible, extended to help increase biodiversity, provide wider environmental benefits to combat climate change, deliver opportunities for outdoor recreation and active travel, conserve heritage assets, support local food production and strengthen the city's landscape character. Therefore, after the second bullet point in the Policy, add a third pullet point:	Response to comments PDSP.116.029/PDSP.116.030 - Joined Up Heritage Sheffield; PDSP.270.002 - Individual; PDSP.393.003 - Individual; PDSP.121.013; PDSP.121.014 - Regather; PDSP.198.001 - Individual; PDSP.177.002 - Individual; PDSP.151.006 - Upper Don Trail Trust; PDSP.232.002 - Individual; PDSP.229.002 - Individual; PDSP.245.002 - Individual; PDSP.346.002 - Individual; PDSP.329.002 - Individual; PDSP.306.002 - Individual; PDSP.220.002 - Individual; PDSP.281.002 - Individual; PDSP.393.005 -

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			'registered historic parks and gardens'. Add 'registered historic parks and gardens' to the Definitions section. Amend Map 17 to show historic parks and gardens.	Individual; PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust; PDSP.267.006 - Individual; PDSP.121.011 - Regather; PDSP.121.001 - Regather; PDSP.121.002 - Regather; PDSP.121.003 - Regather; PDSP.121.004 - Regather; PDSP.006.002 - Natural England; PDSP.116.037 - Joined Up Heritage Sheffield
SV8	Part 1	Policy BG1	In the first bullet point, insert the words 'and Sheffield & Tinsley Canal' before the semi-colon.	Response to comment PDSP.001.004 - Canal & River Trust
SV14	Part 1	Policy BG1	In the second sentence of the Policy, insert an additional bullet point: '● green spaces that form (or have potential to form) wildlife corridors or steppingstones connecting designated ecological sites. Add a new definition: "Designated ecological and geological sites' – internationally, nationally and locally designated sites as described in Policy GS5.'	Response to comments PDSP.393.003 - Individual, PDSP.122.003 - Rivelin Valley Conservation Group, PDSP.125.009 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust, PDSP.131.002 - Sheffield Green & Open Spaces Forum, PDSP.177.002 - Individual, PDSP.201.005 - Individual, PDSP.205.003 - Individual, PDSP.220.002 - Individual, PDSP.229.002 - Individual, PDSP.232.002 - Individual, PDSP.245.002 - Individual, PDSP.267.006 - Individual, PDSP.271.005 - Individual, PDSP.281.002 - Individual, PDSP.306.002 - Individual, PDSP.329.002 - Individual, PDSP.341.003 - Individual, PDSP.343.002 - Individual, PDSP.346.002 - Individual, PDSP.354.001 - Individual, PDSP.393.004 - Individual, PDSP.393.005 - Individual
SV15	Part 1	Policy BG1	Add a new paragraph to the end of the Policy: 'Where new green infrastructure is created, it should comply with the principles and standards set out in Natural England's Green Infrastructure Framework (2023) or any successor	Response to comment PDSP.006.007

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			document.' Add a new definition: "Natural England's Green Infrastructure Framework (2023)' – provides a structure to analyse where greenspace in urban environments is needed most. It aims to support equitable access to greenspace across the country, with an overarching target for everyone being able to reach good quality greenspace in their local area.'	
SV4	Part 1	Map 17 and paragraph 5.24	Amend title of Map to read 'Existing Blue and Green Infrastructure'. Amend the first sentence of paragraph 5.24 so that it refers to <i>existing</i> blue and green infrastructure.	Response to comment PDSP125.008 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust
DH4	Part 1	Policy D1(a)	Add 'amongst others'	Response to comments PDSP.116.032, PDSP.116.033, PDSP.116.034, PDSP.116.036, PDSP.116.066 - Joined up Heritage Sheffield, PDSP.260.006 - individual, PDSP.381.001 - individual, PDSP.113.001 - Hunter Archaeological Society, PDSP.137.002 Sheffield Tree Action Group, PDSP.189.001 - Individual, PDSP.116.067 - Joined up Heritage Sheffield
DH20	Part 1	Policy D2	Add definition "For 'Beautiful' development – the following description is taken from the Government commissioned 'Living with Beauty – Promoting health, well-being and sustainable growth' report, by the Building Better, Building Beautiful Commission (2020): 'Beauty includes everything that promotes a healthy and happy life, everything that makes a collection of buildings into a place, everything that turns anywhere into somewhere, and nowhere into home. It is not merely a visual characteristic, but is revealed in the deep harmony between a place and those who settle there.	Response to PDSP.113.004

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			So understood, beauty should be an essential condition for planning permission .'"	
RH2	Part 1	Policy IN1	In bullet point 1, after 'the rail freight network,', insert 'the tram network,'.	Response to comment (PDSP.015.010 - South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority)
RH1	Part 1	Policy IN1	In the 6th bullet point, replace 'across the city' with 'elsewhere'.	Response to comment (PDSP.002.005 - Environment Agency)
LS17	Part 1	Paragraph 6.2 and Appendix 1	Add an * to denote Strategic Sites in Appendix 1	Response to comment (PDSP.003.026) Historic England
PH3	Part 2	Policy AS1	Replace "site area" with "gross floorspace".	Response to comments (PDSP.112.005 - Home Builders Federation) and (PDSP.021.001 - Barratt and David Wilson Homes Sheffield)
CH02	Part 2	Paragraph 3.1	Change "decades" to "decade"	Response to PDSP.140.019
CH01	Part 2	Paragraph 3.5	Change "Future Homes Standard" to "Future Homes and Building Standard"	Response to PDSP.140.021
CH06	Part 2	Policy ES1 / 3.5	Revise 2013 in para 3.5 to 2021. Revise 75% in ES1 to 64%	Response to PDSP.035.005, PDSP.086.006
CH04	Part 2	Policy ES1	Revise "expected" in first policy paragraph the "required"	Response to PDSP.140.023
CH03	Part 2	Policy ES1	Revise ES1 c to "adopt a re-use first approach to existing buildings; and"	Response to PDSP.116.039, PDSP.116.040, PDSP.393.006, PDSP.271.009, PDSP.188.003, PDSP.113.002
CH21	Part 2	Policy ES1	Add to part A: " in accordance with Policy ES4; and" add to part F " in accordance with Policies GS5 -GS7 & GS11; and	Response to PDSP.002.007
CH09	Part 2	Policy ES3	Reword ES3 (c) to: 'for significant developments, requiring a feasibility assessment into establishing a new network where it is unfeasible to connect to an existing network; and'	Response to PDSP.016.017, PDSP.071.015, PDSP.079.019

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
DH49	Part 2	Policy ES4	Added 'incorporate measures (e.g. external water butts) to address risks posed by drought or long periods of dry weather'.	Response to comment PDSP.002.006 - Environment Agency
CH14	Part 2	Policy ES4	Change to (i): "minimising waste and maximising the reclaiming, reuse and recycling (in line with the Waste Hierarchy) of existing materials during demolition, construction and operation; and". Add a definition for 'Waste hierarchy' and link to National Planning Policy for Waste.	Response to PDSP.116.042, PDSP.116.043
CH10	Part 2	Policy ES5	Add "Aerial emissions and poor air quality can also have a negative impact on the natural environment and biodiversity."	Response to PDSP.006.009
CH11	Part 2	Paragraph 3.21	Add additional paragraph to supporting text: "When deciding what types of assessment into contaminated or unstable land are necessary, applicants should consider the National Quality Mark Scheme for Land Contamination Management. This involves the use of competent persons to ensure that land contamination risks are appropriately managed. Best practice and guidance on contaminated land and groundwater protection is also provides by The Environmental Agency".	Response to PDSP.002.008
CH12	Part 2	Policy ES7	Add "; and h) demonstrate that the proposed scheme will have a net zero impact on carbon emissions."	Response to PDSP.140.027
ER7	Part 2	Policy NC3	Added 'at an agreed transfer price for the tenure(s) proposed ' and 'the specified percentage of the gross internal floor area of the development is as follows:' to part (a) for clarity between the % tenure provision and overall % affordable housing contribution.	Response to comment PDSP.021.003
ER8	Part 2	Policy NC3	Amended policy definition to 'percentage of market value paid for affordable housing by Registered Affordable Housing Providers. The percentage varies according to the tenure and size of the property.'	Definition reflects approach taken in WPVA

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
ER6	Part 2	Policy NC4	Remove part c) of policy (policy not effective)	Response to comments PDSP.038.006, PDSP.042.041, PDSP.056.006
LS30	Part 2	Policy NC8	Add 'and co-living schemes' to part (b) to ensure the policy is clear about application.	Response to comment PDSP.091.003
LS10	Part 2	Policy NC9	Add 'are necessary to' to the beginning of clause (a)	Response to comment (PDSP.003.027 Historic England)
SV36	Part 2	Policy NC12	<p>Restructure the policy by merging part (a) with the introductory sentence to create a new paragraph that reads:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">'New hot food takeaways, extensions to, or increases in the opening hours to existing hot-food takeaways, will not be permitted where the application is within 800 metres of a secondary school and where it would be open for business anytime between 8am and 5pm.'</p> <p>Restructure part (b) so that it forms a separate paragraph and insert the words 'New hot food takeaways (or extensions into adjoining units) will not be permitted' at the beginning of the paragraph so that it reads:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">'New hot food takeaways (or extensions into adjoining units) will not be permitted in a District or Local Centre where existing hot food takeaways already make up more than 25% of the units within the centre.'</p>	Response to comment PDSP.154.001 – Cllr Joe Otten
SV38	Part 2	Paragraph 4.50	At the end of the paragraph, insert a new sentence: 'Where open space is provided in developments within 7km of designated European sites, the provision of new accessible natural greenspace can help avoid recreational pressure on the designated sites (see Policy GS5).'	Response to comments made by Natural England during a duty to cooperate meeting and HRAAA Addendum.

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
SV41	Part 2	Policy NC15	<p>At the end of the Policy, insert: “For sites within 7 km of the South Pennine Moors Special Protection Area/Special Area of Conservation, priority should be given to the creation/enhancement of accessible natural greenspace within the relevant catchment in order to help deflect visitors away from the SPA/SAC (see Policy GS5).”</p> <p>In the definitions section, after the definition of ‘Minimum quantity’ insert additional definition: “For ‘accessible natural greenspace’ – see Policy GS1.”</p> <p>In the definitions section, after the words ‘inclusive and dementia friendly design’ insert ‘Special Protection Areas’ and Special Areas of Conservation</p>	Response to comments made by Natural England during a duty to cooperate meeting and Habitat Regulation Assessment Appropriate Assessment Addendum.
GD12	Part 2	Paragraph 4.52	Insert additional wording as follows: "such planning applications will also need to ensure that there is no conflict between adjacent uses such as housing and playing fields by incorporating appropriate mitigation measures, as required"	Response to comments PDSP.007.021, PDSP.007.022, PDSP.007.025, PDSP.007.027 - Sport England
GD13	Part 2	Policy NC15 "Further Information"	Include additional wording: " Policy BG1 (Blue and Green Infrastructure) (in the Part 1 document),"	To ensure link between policy NC15 and a key Part 1 policy
RH4	Part 2	Policy VC1	In the Policy, in the list of 'Acceptable Uses', after 'Offices (Class E(g)(i))', add a further bullet point: 'Learning and non-residential institutions (Class F1)'	Response to comment (PDSP.138.002 - Sheffield Visual Arts Group)
GC33	Part 2	Paragraph 7.1	Amend to read: Excellent, sustainable transport connectivity will be essential in supporting our growing city and ensuring we achieve our air quality and net-zero carbon goals (as identified in Part 1, Policy T1). This also helps Sheffield to be an inclusive,	Response to comment PDSP.140.033 - South Yorkshire Climate Alliance

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			healthy city where everyone can access the jobs, services and leisure opportunities that the city offers, where access to a car is not a necessity, and where new developments are connected by sustainable active travel networks and public transport.	
GC24	Part 2	Policy CO1	delete 'should' and substitute with 'must' in the second paragraph: "Proposals must prioritise travel by public transport, cycling, and walking and incorporate inclusive infrastructure which provides connections to and within the development.	Response to comment PDSP.356.006 - individual
GC25	Part 2	Policy CO1	add 'including electric bikes' to 3rd paragraph of CO1 to read "Provision will also be required to support the increased uptake of electric and zero emissions vehicles (including electric bikes)"	Response to comment PDSP.356.006 - individual
GC34	Part 2	Policy CO1, Table 3	Add footnote to Land Use heading "where a development proposal represents a change of use the thresholds in Table 3 will still apply"	Response to comment PDSP.086.040- DLP Planning Limited on behalf of University of Sheffield
GC7	Part 2	Policy CO2	Amend Policy CO2 to correct mistake "Residential development in the Central Sub-Area should be car-free or provide maximum of 1 space per 10 dwellings where a clear need can be demonstrated."	Response to comment PDSP.005.006 - National Highways
GD3	Part 2	Policy CO3	Add "or on the significance of heritage assets" at the end of part b)	Response to comments PDSP.116.055, PDSP.116.056 - Joined Up Heritage Sheffield
GD18	Part 2	Policy CO3	Delete final sentence of policy.	To avoid repetition of NPPF policy.
GD10	Part 2	Paragraph 8.2	Add "food production" to paragraph 8.2	Response to comments PDSP.0121.026, PDSP.121.027 - Regather
GD9	Part 2	Paragraph 8.5	Add reference to "community gardens and urban farms"	Response to comment PDSP.121.028 - Regather
GD6	Part 2	Policy GS1	Add "or of food growing" to part c)	Response to comment PDSP.121.030 - Regather

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
GD5	Part 2	Policy GS1	Add wording as footnote to Table 4 to refer to the Sheffield Open Spaces Assessment (2022)	Response to comment PDSP.271.013 - JimC
GD7	Part 2	Policy GS1	Add wording under "Further Information" as follows: "The Council's Playing Pitch Strategy, approved in September 2022, should be referred to for evidence relating to recommendations for playing pitch requirements and their provision".	Response to comment PDSP.007.013 - Sport England
LS29	Part 2	Policy GS2 (d)	Replace the word 'proposed' with 'made'	Clarification in response to comment (PDSP.102.013)
LS7	Part 2	Paragraph 8.12	Amend final sentence to read 'the city's heritage of industrial and agricultural uses'.	Response to comment (PDSP.116.059) Joined Up Heritage
PS8	Part 2	Policy GS3	Amend definition to refer to Landscape Character Assessment sub-areas and refer to associated maps.	Response to comments (PDSP.122.007) Rivelin Valley Conservation Group and (PDSP.393.007) Individual
V37	Part 2	Policy GS5, Further information	In the further information section add: <i>"Note that important foraging areas with 2.5 km of the South Pennine Moors Special Protection Area/Special Area of Conservation must be considered as 'important habitats'."</i>	Response to comments made by Natural England during a duty to cooperate meeting and Habitat Regulations Assessment Appropriate Assessment Addendum
DH27	Part 2	Policy GS5	Added 'priority habitats' to greatest weight.	Response to comment PDSP.139.003 - South Yorkshire Bat Group
DH28	Part 2	Policy GS5	Added 'Ramsar sites' to greatest weight	Response to comment PDSP.006.014 - Natural England
DH26	Part 2	Policy GS5	Added 'SSSI' to greatest weight and removed it from very significant weight	Response to comment PDSP.139.003 - South Yorkshire Bat Group
DH29	Part 2	Policy GS5	After the third bullet point (at the end of the first paragraph) insert: 'Where development is likely to adversely affect a Local Wildlife Site: • development will be refused unless the public benefits of the proposal at that location clearly outweigh the conservation value of the site and its contribution to the wider	Response to comment PDSP.006.014 - Natural England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>ecological network and there is no reasonable alternative that would avoid or reduce harm, by locating the proposal elsewhere; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • any unavoidable harm should be kept to a minimum through design and layout and must be fully compensated through the provision and safeguarding of replacement alternative sites suitable for the creation of habitats of a similar character and quality and of sufficient size 	
DH6	Part 2	Policy GS5(l)	<p>Add 'Provide integrated universal swift bricks and/or bat roosting features to the following quantities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Residential: 50% of new houses and 4-10 features per small block of flats •Large education, office, retail, industrial, leisure and healthcare buildings: 10-20 features per building' 	<p>Response to comments PDSP.193.005 - individual, PDSP.139.003 - South Yorkshire Bat Group; PDSP.124.002, PDSP.124.003 - S11Swifts, PDSP.136.001, PDSP.136.002 - Sheffield Swift Network, PDSP.127.011 - Sheffield & Rotherham wildlife Trust, PDSP.393.009 - Individual, PDSP.271.014 - Individual, PDSP.145.001 - Swifts Local Network; PDSP.333.007 - Individual, PDSP.191.008 - Individual</p>
DH7	Part 2	Policy GS5(m)	<p>Add 'other' to (m) following new (l) item above.</p>	<p>Response to comments PDSP.193.005 - individual,</p>
DH8	Part 2	Policy GS5 Definitions	<p>Amended to read 'Other design features to enhance biodiversity' – could include, for example, green roofs, bird boxes, hedgehog holes in walls and fences, water features, planting native or wildlife-attracting trees, shrubs, wildflowers etc.</p>	<p>Response to comments PDSP.193.005 - individual,</p>
DH9	Part 2	Policy GS6	<p>Amend to read 'biodiversity unit'</p>	<p>Response to comments PDSP.002.013 - Environment Agency, PDSP.006.015 - Natural England</p>
DH32	Part 2	Policy GS6	<p>Added 'apply the Strategic Significance Value of the Biodiversity Metric where site habitats are located in areas of strategic importance to the local area'</p>	<p>Response to comment PDSP.006.015 - Natural England</p>

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
DH30	Part 2	Policy GS6	Deleted part and amended to 'Irreplaceable habitats will be exempt from the mandatory BNG requirement in accordance with national policy.'	Response to comment PDSP.051.008 - ID Planning
DH10	Part 2	Policy GS6 Definitions	Added 'Biodiversity Unit Types – are 'Area Habitats', 'Linear Hedgerow Habitat' and 'Linear Rivers and Stream Habitat'.	Response to comment PDSP.002.013 - Environment Agency, PDSP.006.015 - Natural England
DH31	Part 2	Policy GS6 Definitions	Added 'The small sites metric is not appropriate for use where off-site habitat enhancement is proposed for development proposals of any size.'	Response to comment PDSP.006.015 - Natural England
DH33	Part 2	Policy GS6 Definitions	Added - 'Strategic Significance Value' – is a higher biodiversity metric score applied to habitats of strategic importance locally, including for example, habitats within the Local Nature Recovery Strategic/Network, River Basin Management Plans, Designated Sites and Biodiversity Actions Plans etc	Response to comment PDSP.006.015 - Natural England
DH37	Part 2	Policy GS7	Added 'Sheffield aims to increase the overall citywide tree canopy cover to 20% over the plan period'.	Response to PDSP.006.016 - Natural England, PDSP.135.003 - Sheffield Street Tree Partnership
DH36	Part 2	Paragraph 8.29	Added 'Tree planting and woodland creation should follow recognised good practice and contribute to the delivery of local strategies relating to the protection, planting, planting and management of trees and woodland.'	Response to comment PDSP.127.013 Sheffield & Rotherham Wildlife Trust, PDSP.135.003 Sheffield Street Tree Partnership, PDSP.271.015 - Individual, PDSP.333.009 - Individual
DH48	Part 2	Policy GS7	Added'...'based on the existing value of the benefits of the trees removed as determined by an appropriate valuation tool, for example i-trees, Capital Asset Valuation for Amenity Trees (CAVAT) or other similar methodology'.	Response to comment PDSP.137.004 - Sheffield Tree Action Group
DH35	Part 2	Policy GS7	Added 'ancient trees'	Response to comment PDSP.120.009 Owlthorpe Fields Action Group

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
DH42	Part 2	Policy GS7	Added 'Street tree species should be chosen in line with current guidance from the Sheffield Street Tree Partnership to reflect the fact that trees in hard standing need to be resilient to both a challenging environment (arid, prone to salt and air pollution) and a changing climate'	Response to comments PDSP.127.013 - Sheffield & Rotherham Wildlife Trust, PDSP.135.003 - Sheffield Street Tree Partnership, PDSP.271.016 - Individual, PDSP.333.009 - Individual, PDSP.137.004 - Sheffield Tree Action Group
DH43	Part 2	Policy GS7	Added 'Where it is intended to interplant, create or restore semi-natural habitats tree and hedgerow species selection should reflect similar local assemblages or habitat(s) of ecological importance'.	Response to comment PDSP.127.013 - Sheffield & Rotherham Wildlife Trust, PDSP.135.003 - Sheffield Street Tree Partnership
DH44	Part 2	Policy GS7	Added 'Trees should be sourced from nurseries within the UK and only use imported trees exceptionally (and where they comply with officially authorised biosecurity measures).'	Response to comment PDSP.127.013 - Sheffield & Rotherham Wildlife Trust, PDSP.135.003 - Sheffield Street Tree Partnership,
DH45	Part 2	Policy GS7	Added/amend 'fj) The right tree should be planted in the right place, thereby ensuring that planting does not conflict with other important habitats , natural features or archaeological remains'	Response to comment PDSP.135.004 - Sheffield Street Tree Partnership
DH47	Part 2	Policy GS7	Added '...be considered from the outset of the design process and..'	Response to comment PDSP.135.003 - Sheffield Street Tree Partnership
DH41	Part 2	Policy GS7 (Definitions)	Amended/added 'Good quality trees' - identified in the tree survey as being of high (Category A) or moderate (Category B) quality and capable of making a significant contribution to the area for 20 years or more in accordance with BS5837- Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction or more years.'	Response to comment PDSP.127.013 - Sheffield & Rotherham Wildlife Trust, PDSP.135.003 - Sheffield Street Tree Partnership; PDSP.271.016 - Individual, PDSP.333.009 - individual
DH38	Part 2	Policy GS7	Added 'Where applicable applications will need to conform to the Government guidance 'Ancient woodland, ancient trees and veteran trees: advice for making planning decisions' provides further information on assessing applications where	Response to PDSP.006.016 Natural England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			ancient woodland/trees and veteran trees are on or near a proposed development site.'	
CH15	Part 2	Policy GS9	Remove 8.30 and 8.31 and add: "8.30. Managing the risks from flooding is one of most important ways of adapting to a pattern of more intensive rainfall events that is predicted as a result of man-made climate change and global warming. 8.31. It is vital that development slows water from entering the main river systems and that sensitive uses are not developed in the functional floodplain. Where development does take place in areas at risk of flooding it must implement a range of mitigation measures to reduce the extent and impact of flooding. 8.33. Alongside policies within this Plan, all developments (including windfall developments), will also be considered against national planning policy (including where necessary, application of the sequential and exception tests). " Reword GS9 (c) Flood Risk Management for Development Sites "c) avoids culverting and building over open watercourses"	Response to PDSP.127.014, PDSP.393.010, PDSP.393.012, PDSP.125.013, PDSP.333.010, PDSP.333.011
CH19	Part 2	Policy GS9	Add new para 8.34 "Proposals that lead to self-contained residential rooms at ground floor or basement level in areas at risk of flooding are unlikely to provide satisfactory mitigation against residual flood risk or provide safe points of refuge. Typically, this relates to Purpose Built Student Accommodation and Houses in Multiple Occupation". Add to Policy GS9 "not lead to the creation of self-contained rooms at ground floor or basement level in areas at risk of flooding; and "	Response to PDSP.002.009, PDSP.002.010
CH16	Part 2	Policy GS9	Rewording of policy and introductory paragraphs	Response to PDSP.002.014, PDSP.086.051
LM16	Part 2	Policy GS11	Add the following text after paragraph 8.38	Response to PDSP.002.015 - Environment Agency

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>8.39 "Where SuDS includes an infiltration device it should not pose an unacceptable risk of pollution to controlled waters by mobilising potential contaminants in the ground, in accordance with the Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection."</p> <p>Add definition after policy GS10:</p> <p>"Potential risk of contamination to groundwater – See the Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection"</p>	
DH14	Part 2	Policy DE1	Added '... including in areas which currently lack a positive or.. '	Response to comment PDSP.260.019 - Individual
DH18	Part 2	Policy DE1	Deleted last paragraph and bullets.	Response to comment PDSP.003.033
DH19	Part 2	Policy DE1	Added 'Further information For information on Sheffield's local character can be found in the 'South Yorkshire Historic Environment Characterisation' study and the 'Sheffield City Centre Design Guide', which updates the 'Sheffield Urban Design Compendium'.'	Response to comment PDSP.113.004 - Hunter Archaeological Society
DH22	Part 2	Policy DE2	Added 'and respond positively to local character (see DE1)'	Response to PDSP.113.005 - Hunter Archaeological Society
DH23	Part 2	Policy DE3	Added 'street patterns'	Response to comment PDSP.113.006 - Hunter Archaeological Society
DH15	Part 2	Policy DE3	Added 'in accordance with Policies GS5-7'	Response to comment PDSP.260.021 - individual
DH51	Part 2	Policy DE3	Added 'for all'	Response to comment PDSP.260.021 - Individual
GC5	Part 2	Policy DE4	add 'national guidelines' to policy DE4 "Roads, pedestrian routes and areas, cycleways, and public spaces should be well-connected, legible and permeable, providing safe and attractive travel choices, and should adhere to national guidelines... "	Response to comment PDSP.100.002 - Sheffield CTC and Cycle Sheffield

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
DH24	Part 2	Policy DE4	Deleted 'except where a street is designed as a shared surface'	Response to comment PDSP.093.012 - Access Liason Group
GC4	Part 2	Policy DE4	add 'and permeable wherever feasible' to the end of Policy DE4 (k)	Response to comment PDSP.332.002 - individual
DH16	Part 2	Policy DE4	Added 'while preserving the heritage of historic street patterns'.	Response to comment PDSP.260.022 - Individual
HT37	Part 2	Policy DE5 criteria h) - new criteria	Add additional criteria to Policy as follows: "h) they comply with modern disabled access standards where possible or try to improve access for disabled people"	To ensure effectiveness on application of policy for disabled access.
DH25	Part 2	Policy DE9	Added 'the detail of supporting information must.'	Response to comment PDSP.003.038 - Historic England
DH6	Part 2	Policy DC1 - Further information	At the end of the 'Further information' paragraph, add "that will seek to maximise social value benefits arising from new development."	To promote social value as part of the development management process
SV24	Part 2	Paragraph 11.15	After the 6th bullet point, insert an extra bullet point: '• Network Rail own and manage our railway infrastructure. They are responsible for planning the future development of the network, including working in partnership with the Combined Authority and Local Authorities to deliver long-term plans to improve connectivity at national, regional and local level.'	Response to comment PDSP.015.014 - South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority
LS32	Part 2	Paragraph 11.19	In paragraph 11.19, second sentence, after the word 'environmental' insert the words 'and heritage'.	Response to comment (PDSP.116.102)
DH34	Part 2	Paragraph 13.2	Added 'along with the amount and type of BNG provided through development'	Response to comment PDSP.006.015 - Natural England
SV25	Part 2	Monitoring	In the section 'A Well-Designed City', second bullet point, after the words (Scheduled Ancient Monuments, Listed Buildings, Registered Parks and Gardens, Conservation Areas) insert the words 'identified as being at risk'	Response to comments PDSP.113.011 - Hunter Archaeological Society

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
GC8	Key Diagram		Add proposed new station at Killamarsh (Barrowhill Line) to the Key Diagram	Response to comment PDSP.015.020 - South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority
DH58	Key Diagram		Added Broad Locations for Growth to Key Diagram	NA
DH59	Key Diagram		Amended key changed 'Advanced Manufacturing & Innovation District' to 'innovation District'	NA
DH60	Key Diagram		Added proposed new train station at Killamarsh to Key Diagram.	NA
LS15	Glossary	Broad Locations for Growth	Include a definition for 'Broad Locations for Growth' as per the definitions text associated with Policy SP1.	Response to comment (PDSP.042.018) DLP Planning on behalf of various landowners.
HT1	Glossary	Catalyst Site	Add following definition into the Glossary: "Catalyst Site: These are sites that, if delivered, are considered to have the greatest potential impact on the acceleration of regeneration in the vicinity of the location within which they are located. Delivery of these catalyst sites are deemed to bring the greatest spatial benefits to the priority area and the wider Central Area."	To correct an omission from the Definitions. Policies SA1, CA1, CA1B, CA3, CA3B, CA4, CA4A, CA5, CA5B refer to Catalyst Site.
HT2	Glossary	Priority Location	Add following definition into the Glossary: "Priority Location: Priority Locations are areas considered to have greatest potential for transformational change that can maximise long term regeneration benefits, including providing exemplary development for different market offerings."	To correct an omission from the Definitions. Policies SP1, SA1, CA1, CA1A, CA2, CA2A, CA2B, CA3, CA3A, CA4, CA4A, CA5, CA5A refer to Priority Location.
LS31	Glossary	Residential development	After 'student cluster flats' add 'and co-living schemes'	Response to comment (PDSP.091.003) to ensure consistency with policy NC8
RH7	Glossary	Social Value	Add a new Term after Smaller Villages - "Social Value: wider financial and nonfinancial value created in terms of the wellbeing of individuals and communities, social capital created and the environment." Refers particularly to Policy DC1	To provide clarity to a Term newly introduced to the Plan

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
GC15	Glossary	Strategic Roads/ Strategic Road Network	separate 'Strategic Roads' from 'Strategic Road Network' by adding a new entry for "Strategic Roads: those roads where it is most important to manage traffic in order to reduce congestion and improve efficiency (Sheffield City Region Transport Strategy 2011-2026). The network is shown on the Policies Map and on Maps 15 and 16 in Part 1 of the Plan".	Response to comment PDSP.015.024 - South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority
GC16	Glossary	Strategic Roads/ Strategic Road Network	Delete 'Strategic Roads' from the 'Strategic Road Network' entry. Add definition to 'Strategic Road Network' "The roads managed by National Highways (M1 and A616)".	Response to comment PDSP.015.024 - South Yorkshire Mayoral Combined Authority
PS16	Annex A	Site schedules	Add an * to denote Strategic Sites in Annex A	Response to comment (PDSP.003.026) Historic England
M36	Annex A	KN03	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Add condition to read:</p> <p>"Development of the site should be considered in conjunction with development objectives set out in a masterplan for the area."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.041 - Historic England
LM37	Annex A	KN04	Amend heritage condition to read:	Response to PDSP.003.042 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	
LM38	Annex A	KN05	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.043 - Historic England
LM39	Annex A	KN07	<p>Amend wording of conditions on development for KN07 to:</p> <p>'This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings.'</p> <p>And</p>	Response to PDSP.003.044 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			"Development of the site should be considered in conjunction with development objectives set out in a masterplan for the area."	
LM40	Annex A	KN09	<p>Amend wording of conditions on development for KN09 to:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.045 - Historic England
LM41	Annex A	KN10	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.046 - Historic England
HT7	Annex A	KN11	Add additional condition on development as follows: "This site is identified as impacting on Heritage Assets and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage."	To respond to comments made by Historic England.
LM96	Annex A	KN13	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM97	Annex A	KN15	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England
LM98	Annex A	KN21	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings." And also add additional condition as follows. Also amend conditions to state: "Retention and repair of 'the' Listed Building 'is' required."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England
HT9	Annex A	KN21	Amend wording of conditions on development for KN21 to: "Development of the site should be considered in conjunction with development objectives set out in a masterplan for the area."	To align with the emerging Furnace Hill and Neepsend Masterplan.

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
LM42	Annex A	KN23	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.049 - Historic England
LM43	Annex A	KN24	<p>Amend wording of conditions on development for KN24 to:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Add following condition:</p> <p>"Retention and repair of the Listed Buildings is required."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.050 - Historic England
SV32	Annex A	KN24	Add an additional condition: "Development of the site should be considered in conjunction with development objectives set out in a masterplan for the area."	To reflect the emerging masterplan for the area.
LM44	Annex A	KN27	<p>Amend wording of conditions on development for KN27 to:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any</p>	Response to PDSP.003.051 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
Page 32			<p>proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>and</p> <p>"Development of the site should be considered in conjunction with development objectives set out in a masterplan for the area."</p>	
LM99	Annex A	KN30	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England
LM45	Annex A	KN32	<p>Amend wording of conditions on development for KN32 to:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with</p>	Response to PDSP.003.052 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM46	Annex A	KN36	<p>Amend wording of conditions on development for KN36 to:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>and</p> <p>'Development of the site should be considered in conjunction with development objectives set out in a masterplan for the area.'</p>	Response to PDSP.003.053 - Historic England
SV	Annex A	CW02	<p>In Site Allocation CW02, add the following two conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "The watercourse should be deculverted and enhanced. • Connective ecological corridors/areas (including buffers) shown on the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and combined natural capital opportunity maps are to be maintained on site and removed from the developable area. Biodiversity Net Gain should be delivered on site within the connective ecological corridor/area.' 	To correct an omission
HT6	Annex A	CW02	Add additional condition on development as follows: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due	To respond to comments made by Historic England.

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage."	
LM17	Annex A	CW04	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.055 - Historic England
LM100	Annex A	CW06	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England
SV40	Annex A	CW07	<p>In Site Allocation CW07, delete the following condition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'The watercourse should be deculverted and enhanced.' 	To correct an error
LM18	Annex A	CW07	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development</p>	Response to PDSP.003.056 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Add following condition:</p> <p>"Retention and repair of the Listed Building is required"</p>	
LM19	Annex A	CW09	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.057 - Historic England
LM20	Annex A	CW12	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.058 - Historic England
LM21	Annex A	CW13	Amend heritage condition to read:	Response to PDSP.003.059 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
Page 36			<p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Provide opportunities for views of Aizlewoods Mill and the New Testament Church of God through the site from the A61."</p>	
LM22	Annex A	CW14	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Maintain views of Aizlewoods Mill from Mowbray Street and Nursery Lane."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.060 - Historic England
LM23	Annex A	CW16	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and</p>	Response to PDSP.003.061 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	
LM24	Annex A	CW20	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.062 - Historic England
LM25	Annex A	CW21	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.063 - Historic England
LM101	Annex A	CW22	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM60	Annex A	SU05	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.064 - Historic England
LM61	Annex A	SU11	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.065 - Historic England
LM62	Annex A	SU12	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development</p>	Response to PDSP.003.066 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Retain and incorporate the existing buildings along West Bar that are within the Conservation Area."</p>	
LM63 Page 39	Annex A	SU20	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.067 - Historic England
LM64	Annex A	SU21	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.068 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>“Retention and repair of the Listed Building is required.”</p>	
LM65	Annex A	SU23	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.069 - Historic England
LM66	Annex A	SU27	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.070 - Historic England
LM102	Annex A	SU29	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM67	Annex A	SU30	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.071 - Historic England
LM68	Annex A	SU31	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Retention and repair of the Listed Building is required."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.072 - Historic England
LM69	Annex A	SU35	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development</p>	Response to PDSP.003.073 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM103	Annex A	SU36	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England
LM70	Annex A	SU37	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.074 - Historic England
LM104	Annex A	SU39	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM71	Annex A	SU40	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.075 - Historic England
LM72	Annex A	SU41	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.076 - Historic England
LM73	Annex A	SU42	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the</p>	Response to PDSP.003.077 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM74	Annex A	SU45	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.078 - Historic England
LM75	Annex A	SU47	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.079 - Historic England
LM76	Annex A	SU51	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with</p>	Response to PDSP.003.080 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM77	Annex A	SU55	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.081 - Historic England
RH109	Annex A	SV01	Amend 'Allocated Use' from 'Office' to 'Mixed Use'.	To reflect the change in the boundary of the site allocation
RH110	Annex A	SV01	Amend 'Site Area' from '1.45' to '0.3'.	To reflect the change in the boundary of the site allocation
RH111	Annex A	SV01	Amend 'Net Housing Area' from '0.00' to '0.3'.	To reflect the change in the boundary and the use of the site allocation
RH112	Annex A	SV01	Amend 'Total Housing Capacity' from '0' to '45'.	To reflect the change in the boundary and the use of the site allocation
LM78	Annex A	SV01	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.082 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
RH113	Annex A	SV01	<p>At the end of 'Conditions on Development', add two further Conditions: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing is required to cover a minimum of 50% of the floorspace for the site (as opposed to the 80% standard requirement of the site allocation policy AS1). • Development of the site should be considered in conjunction with development objectives set out in a masterplan for the area." 	To align with the emerging Sheffield Station Masterplan.
LM79	Annex A	SV02	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.083 - Historic England
RH114	Annex A	SV02	<p>At the end of 'Conditions on Development', add two further Conditions: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 60% office requirement is to be delivered in conjunction with SV05. • Development of the site should be considered in conjunction with development objectives set out in a masterplan for the area." 	To align with the emerging Sheffield Station Masterplan.
LM80	Annex A	SV03	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in</p>	Response to PDSP.003.084 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM81	Annex A	SV04	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.085 - Historic England
LM82	Annex A	SV05	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.086 - Historic England
RH115	Annex A	SV05	<p>At the end of 'Conditions on Development', add two further Conditions: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 60% office requirement is to be delivered in conjunction with SV02. • Development of the site should be considered in conjunction with development objectives set out in a masterplan for the area." 	To align with the emerging Sheffield Station Masterplan.

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
HT4	Annex A	SV07	Add the following condition on development to site SV07: "The watercourse should be protected and enhanced. A natural buffer is required to the adjacent watercourse. Watercourses (rivers and streams) require a 10 metre buffer."	For consistency and better policy implementation, please see amendments to site conditions on development in response to the Sheffield and Rotherham Wildlife Trust.
LM83	Annex A	SV07	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	Response to PDSP.003.087 - Historic England
LM84	Annex A	SV08	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	Response to PDSP.003.088 - Historic England
LM85	Annex A	SV10	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in	Response to PDSP.003.089 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM86	Annex A	SV11	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	Response to PDSP.003.090 - Historic England
H116	Annex A	SV11	At the end of 'Conditions on Development', add a further Condition: - "• Development of the site should be considered in conjunction with development objectives set out in a masterplan for the area."	To align with the emerging Sheffield Station Masterplan.
LM87	Annex A	SV15	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	Response to PDSP.003.091 - Historic England
LM88	Annex A	SV16	Amend heritage condition to read:	Response to PDSP.003.092 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	
LM89	Annex A	SV17	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.093 - Historic England
LM90	Annex A	SV18	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.94 - Historic England
LM105	Annex A	SV19	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM91	Annex A	SV21	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	Response to PDSP.003.095 - Historic England
HT5	Annex A	SV22	Add the following condition on development to site SV22: "The watercourse should be protected and enhanced. A natural buffer is required to the adjacent watercourse. Watercourses (rivers and streams) require a 10 metre buffer"	For consistency and better policy implementation, please see amendments to site conditions on development in response to the Sheffield and Rotherham Wildlife Trust.
LM92	Annex A	SV22	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to	Response to PDSP.003.096 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Retention of non-designated heritage assets would be desirable"</p>	
LM26	Annex A	HC01	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.097 - Historic England
LM27	Annex A	HC02	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.098 - Historic England
LM28	Annex A	HC11	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development</p>	Response to PDSP.003.099 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM29	Annex A	HC15	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.100 - Historic England
LM30	Annex A	HC16	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.101 - Historic England
LM31	Annex A	HC17	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in</p>	Response to PDSP.003.102 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM32	Annex A	HC22	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.103 - Historic England
LM33	Annex A	HC24	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.104 - Historic England
LM34	Annex A	HC25	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the</p>	Response to PDSP.003.105 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM35	Annex A	HC26	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.106 - Historic England
LM47	Annex A	LR02	<p>Amend wording of conditions on development for LR02 to:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.107 - Historic England
LM48	Annex A	LR05	<p>Amend wording of conditions on development for LR05 to:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with</p>	Response to PDSP.003.108 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
HT3	Annex A	LR06	Add the following condition on development to site LR06: "A natural buffer is required to the adjacent watercourse. Watercourses (rivers and streams) require a 10 metre buffer."	For consistency and better policy implementation, please see amendments to site conditions on development in response to the Sheffield and Rotherham Wildlife Trust.
LM49	Annex A	LR07	<p>Amend wording of conditions on development for LR07 to:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Amend condition 6 to read:</p> <p>"Retention and repair of the Listed Buildings is required."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.109 - Historic England
LM106	Annex A	NWS02	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.110 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>And add following condition:</p> <p>“Development of the site will require measures to be put in place for the protection of the Bardwell Road Railway Bridge during construction and to minimise future accidental harm through collisions due to increased vehicle movement.”</p>	
PG9	Annex A	NWS03	<p>Add a condition on development that states:</p> <p>"Any Ancient Woodland/ Woodland and its buffer adjacent to or within the site must be excluded from the developable area of the site."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.148.001 - The Woodland Trust
PG11	Annex A	NWS10	<p>Add a condition on development that states:</p> <p>"A 15 metre buffer is required from the edge of the canopy of Ancient Woodland/ Woodland on or adjacent to the site. Any Ancient Woodland/ Woodland and its buffer within the site must be excluded from the developable area."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.148.004 - The Woodland Trust; PDSP.127.021 - Sheffield and Rotherham Wildlife Trust
LM55	Annex A	NWS10	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Add the following as additional bullet points to the conditions on development for this site:</p> <p>"Retain and repair the drystone wall along Oughtibridge Lane."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.111 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>And add:</p> <p>"The undeveloped land to the south is more sensitive to the character of the area and setting of nearby listed assets and development here should be carefully considered in terms of its layout, form and massing."</p>	
LM56	Annex A	NWS13	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.112 - Historic England
LS45	Annex A	NWS16	<p>Additional condition on development: This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed by the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings</p>	Response to comment PDSP.271.020
LM53	Annex A	NWS17	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development</p>	Response to PDSP.003.113 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
Page 59			<p>proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Amend the final bullet point under the conditions on development for this site to read:</p> <p>"Retention of early 20th Century non-designated heritage assets including the brick wall fronting Winter Street and Dart Street would be desirable."</p>	
M58	Annex A	NWS29	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Development of the site will require measures to be put in place for the protection of the Bardwell Road Railway Bridge during construction and to minimise future accidental harm through collisions due to increased vehicle movement. "</p>	Response to PDSP.003.114 - Historic England
PG22	Annex A	NWS29	Add the following bullet point to the allocation's conditions on development.	Response to comment PDSP.104.002 - Friends of Loxley Valley

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			"Connective ecological corridors/areas (including buffers) shown on the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and combined natural capital opportunity maps are to be maintained on site and removed from the developable area. Biodiversity Net Gain should be delivered on site within the connective ecological corridor/area."	
LS21	Annex A	NWS29	Insert additional condition on development 'No development should take place within the Local Wildlife Site' and 'No development should take place within the Local Geological Site'	Response to comment (PDSP.375.002) , (PDSP.188.007), (PDSP.271.021) and clarification
PG15	Annex A	NES01	Add a condition on development that states: "A 15 metre buffer is required from the edge of the canopy of Ancient Woodland/ Woodland on or adjacent to the site. Any Ancient Woodland/ Woodland and its buffer must be excluded from the developable area."	Response to comment PDSP.148.005 - The Woodland Trust
PG6	Annex A	NWS29	Add the following bullet point to the allocation's conditions on development. "Connective ecological corridors/areas (including buffers) shown on the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and combined natural capital opportunity maps are to be maintained on site and removed from the developable area. Biodiversity Net Gain should be delivered on site within the connective ecological corridor/area."	Response to comment PDSP.127.022 - Sheffield and Rotherham Wildlife Trust; and PDSP.103.003 - Friends of Parkwood Springs
LM51	Annex A	NES05	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with	Response to PDSP.003.115 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
LM51	Annex A	NES09	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p> <p>And add following condition:</p> <p>"Retention of any non-designated heritage assets would be desirable."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.116 - Historic England
LM95	Annex A	NES11	<p>Add the following conditions on development to the site allocation:</p> <p>"A heritage impact assessment that highlights any detrimental impacts development will have on the listed buildings and on any other designated or non-designated heritage assets in the area, and which suggests appropriate mitigation measures shall be submitted in support of a planning application for the building's development."</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.117 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
PG3	Annex A	NES13	Add the following condition on development to the Site allocation "A Sports and Urban Green Space Impact Assessment is required that identifies any detrimental impacts to adjacent sports/ recreational facilities or to the development proposal, assessing the impacts and suggesting appropriate mitigation where necessary."	Response to comment PDSP.007.016 - Sport England
LM53	Annex A	NES22	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	Response to PDSP.003.119 - Historic England
PG3	Annex A	NES22	Add the following condition on development to the Site allocation "A Sports and Urban Green Space Impact Assessment is required that identifies any detrimental impacts to adjacent sports/ recreational facilities or to the development proposal, assessing the impacts and suggesting appropriate mitigation where necessary."	Response to comment PDSP.007.017 - Sport England
LM52	Annex A	NES18	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the	Response to PDSP.003.118 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
PG5	Annex A	NES28	Add the following condition on development to the Site allocation "A Sports and Urban Green Space Impact Assessment is required that identifies any detrimental impacts to adjacent sports/ recreational facilities or to the development proposal, assessing the impacts and suggesting appropriate mitigation where necessary."	Response to comment PDSP.007.018 - Sport England
LM54	Annex A	NES33	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	Response to PDSP.003.120 - Historic England
LM1	Annex A	ES05	Add the following condition: "Assessment will be required at planning application stage to determine the impact of the development on the stability of the adjacent canal cutting and to identify sufficient mitigation that may be required to prevent land instability issues arising."	Response to comment PDSP.001.010 - Canal and River Trust
LM2	Annex A	ES09	Add the following condition: This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development	Response to comment PDSP.003.127 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings.</p>	
LM4	Annex A	ES15	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings.</p> <p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Buildings should be set back from the heritage assets in line with the existing building to the west of the site."</p>	Response to PDSP.003.121 - Historic England
LM7	Annex A	ES20	<p>Amend condition to read:</p> <p>This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings.</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.126 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			<p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Non-designated heritage assets on-site require further assessment and consideration given to their retention and where appropriate reuse"</p>	
LM5	Annex A	ES20	<p>Add the following conditions:</p> <p>"Assessment will be required at planning application stage to determine the impact of the development on the stability of the adjacent canal cutting and to identify sufficient mitigation that may be required to prevent land instability issues arising."</p> <p>"To mitigate the impact of additional usage arising from the development, improvements to the adjacent towpath should be provided."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.001.011 - Canal and River Trust
LM6	Annex A	ES20	<p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Open space should be provided in accordance with Policy NC15."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.006.040 - Natural England
LM8	Annex A	ES25	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings.</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.123 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
LM10	Annex A	ES28	<p>Amend the heritage condition to read:</p> <p>This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings.</p> <p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Development should respond positively to the adjacent canal"</p> <p>Delete condition regarding retention of existing buildings on-site</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.124 - Historic England
LM9	Annex A	ES28	<p>Add the following conditions:</p> <p>"Assessment will be required at planning application stage to determine the impact of the development on the stability of the adjacent canal cutting and to identify sufficient mitigation that may be required to prevent land instability issues arising."</p> <p>"To mitigate the impact of additional usage arising from the development, improvements to the adjacent towpath should be provided."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.001.012 - Canal and River Trust
LM11	Annex A	ES31	<p>Add the following condition:</p> <p>"Assessment will be required at planning application stage to</p>	Response to comment PDSP.001.013- Canal and River Trust

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			determine the impact of the development on the stability of the adjacent canal cutting and to identify sufficient mitigation that may be required to prevent land instability issues arising."	
LM13	Annex A	ES33	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings.</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.125 - Historic England
LM12	Annex A	ES33	<p>Add the following conditions:</p> <p>"Assessment will be required at planning application stage to determine the impact of the development on the stability of the adjacent canal cutting and to identify sufficient mitigation that may be required to prevent land instability issues arising."</p> <p>"To mitigate the impact of additional usage arising from the development, improvements to the adjacent towpath should be provided."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.001.014 - Canal and River Trust
LS22	Annex A	SES02	Insert additional condition on development 'No development should take place within the Local Wildlife Site which is within a corridor of sites designated for nature conservation and possessing populations of Great Crested Newts.'	Response to comment (PDSP.375.002), (PDSP.188.008), (PDSP.271.022)

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
GD25	Annex A	SES03	Amend the Site Area to 6.80 hectares. In "Net housing area", delete "0.00" and insert "1.5". In 'Net employment (Class E(g)(iii) only) area' delete "5.35 hectares" and insert "3.4 hectares".	For clarification.
GD26	Annex A	SES03	In "Total housing capacity", delete "0" and insert "12".	For clarification.
GD24	Annex A	SES03	Delete from "Conditions on development" the condition requiring agricultural land surveys.	Response to comment PDSP.006.046 - Natural England
GD16	Annex A	SES03	Add wording in the "Conditions of Development" as follows: "Development should provide a strategy for responding to the National Grid Electricity Transmission overhead transmission lines and towers present within the site which demonstrates how the National Grid Electricity Transmission Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the design stage and how the impact of the assets has been reduced through good design"	Response to comment PDSP.004.003 - National Grid, PDSP.233.001, PDSP.256.001, PDSP.319.001, PDSP.337.001, PDSP.339.001, PDSP.361.001, PDSP.387.001, PDSP.389.001, PDSP.401.001
SV34	Annex A	SES03	Amend condition on development to read: ' • Biodiversity Net Gain should be delivered on site within the connective ecological corridor/area which should also act as an environmental buffer between the development and neighbouring housing.'	Response to comments PDSP.152.001, PDSP.157.001, PDSP.162.001, PDSP.163.001, PDSP.164.001, PDSP.166.001, PDSP.167.001, PDSP.169.001, PDSP.171.001, PDSP.173.001, PDSP.173.002, PDSP.174.001, PDSP.178.001, PDSP.184.001, PDSP.187.001, PDSP.199.001, PDSP.204.001, PDSP.204.002, PDSP.206.001, PDSP.209.001, PDSP.224.001, PDSP.224.002, PDSP.225.001, PDSP.225.002, PDSP.225.003, PDSP.226.001, PDSP.231.002, PDSP.233.001, PDSP.234.001,

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
				PDSP.234.002, PDSP.240.002, PDSP.235.001, PDSP.247.001, PDSP.256.001, PDSP.259.001, PDSP.266.001, PDSP.277.001, PDSP.280.001, PDSP.288.001, PDSP.289.001, PDSP.293.001, PDSP.294.001, PDSP.297.001, PDSP.297.002, PDSP.307.001, PDSP.319.001, PDSP.339.001, PDSP.361.001, PDSP.387.002, PDSP.389.001, PDSP.401.001
Page 69 SS23	Annex A	SES04	Insert additional condition on development 'No development should take place within the Local Wildlife Site which is within a corridor of sites designated for nature conservation and possessing populations of Great Crested Newts.'	Response to comment (PDSP.375.002), (PDSP.188.009), (PDSP.271.023)
LS25	Annex A	SES05	Insert additional condition on development 'No development should take place within the Local Wildlife Site which is within a corridor of sites designated for nature conservation and possessing populations of Great Crested Newts.'	Response to comment (PDSP.375.002) , (PDSP.188.010), (PDSP.271.024)
LM59	Annex A	SES21	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	Response to PDSP.003.128 - Historic England
GD22	Annex A	SES27	Add the following wording in "Conditions on development": "Development must not prejudice the use of the adjacent	Response to comment 007.023 - Sport England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			playing field and the Council must retain the access through the site to service the playing field" and delete "None".	
LS44	Annex A	SES28	Add condition on development "A buffer is required to the adjacent Local Wildlife Site (s). Grassland requires a 6 metre buffer, Ancient woodland/woodland requires a 15 metre buffer (measured from the edge of the canopy), Watercourses (rivers and streams) require a 10 metre buffer."	Response to comment PDSP.127.029
DH53	Annex A	SES28	Add condition on development 'Connective ecological corridors/areas (including buffers) shown on the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and combined natural capital opportunity maps are to be maintained on site and removed from the developable area. Biodiversity Net Gain should be delivered on site within the connective ecological corridor/area.'	Response to comment PDSP.127.039 from Sheffield & Rotherham Wildlife Trust
LM107	Annex A	SS13	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England
LM108	Annex A	SS17	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
GD21	Annex A	SS18	Add the following wording in "Conditions on development": "The playing field in the eastern part of the site is to be retained".	Response to comment 007.026 - Sport England
DH52	Annex A	SS18	Add additional condition: "Ancient woodland to be excluded from development and protected by a 15 metre buffer measured from the edge of the canopy. "	Response to comment PDSP.148.005 - The Woodland Trust
DH54	Annex A	SWS02	Added 'Connective ecological corridors/areas (including buffers) shown on the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and combined natural capital opportunity maps are to be maintained on site and removed from the developable area. Biodiversity Net Gain should be delivered on site within the connective ecological corridor/area.	Response to comment PDSP.125.019 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust
DH55	Annex A	SWS05	Added 'Connective ecological corridors/areas (including buffers) shown on the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and combined natural capital opportunity maps are to be maintained on site and removed from the developable area. Biodiversity Net Gain should be delivered on site within the connective ecological corridor/area.	Response to comment PDSP.125.020 - Sheaf and Porter Rivers Trust
LM93	Annex A	SWS06	Amend heritage condition to read: "This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	Response to PDSP.003.129 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
LM109	Annex A	SWS08	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."</p>	Response to comment PDSP.003.040 - Historic England
DH56	Annex A	SWS08	<p>Added 'Connective ecological corridors/areas (including buffers) shown on the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and combined natural capital opportunity maps are to be maintained on site and removed from the developable area. Biodiversity Net Gain should be delivered on site within the connective ecological corridor/area.</p>	Response to comment PDSP.006.051 - Natural England
DH57	Annex A	SWS14	<p>Added 'The site already has planning permission. The following conditions on development would apply if any further or amended developments were to be proposed on the site. Connective ecological corridors/areas (including buffers) shown on the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and combined natural capital opportunity maps are to be maintained on site and removed from the developable area. Biodiversity Net Gain should be delivered on site within the connective ecological corridor/area.</p>	Response to comment PDSP.006.051 - Natural England
LM94	Annex A	SWS17	<p>Amend heritage condition to read:</p> <p>"This site is identified as impacting on a Heritage Asset and due consideration should be given to the impact of any proposal at the planning application stage. Development proposals should implement the recommendations set out in</p>	Response to PDSP.003.130 - Historic England

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			the Heritage Impact Assessment prepared in support of the Local Plan, or other suitable mitigation measures agreed with the Local Planning Authority, to avoid or minimise harm to the significance of heritage assets and their settings."	
PG19	Annex A	SD03	<p>Amend Annex A, SD03, page 211 as Follows.</p> <p>First, second and third bullet points should state:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Creation of open space should be included in any development. - Provision of a landscaped noise attenuation bund between the site and the industrial zone is required. - Provision of public transport services (including including bus stops and laybys). <p>The seventh bullet point should state:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Connective ecological corridors/areas (including buffers) shown on the Local Nature Recovery Strategy and combined natural capital opportunity maps are to be maintained on site and be removed from the developable area. Biodiversity Net Gain should be delivered on site within the connective ecological corridor/area. 	Response to comment PDSP.077.002 - Speciality Steel UK
PG7	Annex A	SD11	Add the following condition on development to the Site allocation "A Sports and Urban Green Space Impact Assessment is required that identifies any detrimental impacts to adjacent sports/ recreational facilities or to the development proposal, assessing the impacts and suggesting appropriate mitigation where necessary."	Response to comment PDSP.007.029 - Sport England
GC13	Annex B	Car Parking	delete 'Parking Standards' heading on page 4	response to comment PDSP.015.019 to ensure consistency in the wording
GC35	Annex B	Electric Vehicle Charging	<p>under Residential heading, delete the 2nd bullet and amend the 1st bullet to say:</p> <p>"For all dwellings with dedicated off-street associated parking at least 1 EVCP per dwelling., plus the necessary</p>	to align with terminology set out in Building Regulations

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
			infrastructure to enable installation of charging points in the future" and add a definition for 'associated parking' "Any parking space that is available within the site boundary of the building, for the use by the occupant of, or a visitor to, a dwelling in the building, including any parking space which is for the use of any occupant of, or any visitor to, any dwelling in a building containing more than one dwelling"	
GC36	Annex B	Electric Vehicle Charging	4th paragraph add 'off-highway' to read: 'If it is not possible to achieve these requirements off-highway a contribution will be required to support the development of a citywide network of public chargers.'	Clarification
GC12	Annex B	Cycle Parking	Add 'including Purpose Built Student Accommodation' to bullet 5.	Response to comment PDSP.085.009
GC26	Annex B	Accessible parking table	Add 'including in car free developments' to paragraph 2 of the 'housing' row in the table to read: "Each category 3 wheelchair accessible dwelling should be provided with a minimum of 1 accessible on-site, allocated space. This includes developments where car parking is provided at less than 1 space per dwelling, including in car free developments. "	Response to comment PDSP.093.016 - Access Liaison Group
GC27	Annex B	Accessible parking table	Add to the 'Housing' row of the table, after the 3rd paragraph: "Where a development is otherwise car free, accessible car parking spaces must be provided for 5% of the total number of dwellings, or a minimum of 1 space, whichever is greatest."	Response to comment PDSP.093.016 - Access Liaison Group
LS2	Policies Map	Green Belt boundary	Amend boundary to reflect physical features on the ground as per diagram	Response to comment (PDSP.047.001 Ideal Developments Ltd)
GC28	Policies Map	Key	add '(existing)' to Cycle Routes to read: "Cycle Routes (existing)	response to comment PDSP.324.001 - individual
RH108	Policies Map	SV01	Amend the site allocation boundary as shown and indicate a mixed use allocation	To align with the emerging Sheffield Station Masterplan.

Amendment Reference	Document	Policy, paragraph or site	Proposed amendment	Why have we made the change?
SV28	Policies Map	Main Rivers	Show 'Main Rivers' on the Policies Map	Response to comment PDSP.002.004 - Environment Agency
GC17	Policies Map	Key	Rename Strategic Road Network (National Highways) to 'Strategic Road Network'	To differentiate between the local Strategic Roads and the Strategic Road Network.
RH91	Policies Map	Key	Change the Proposals Map Key, "Advanced Manufacturing Innovation District" to "Innovation District"	Updated name
LS2	Policies Map	Wheel Lane, S35	Remove area from Green Belt	Response to PDSP.047.004

